

terms like “means” and “said” per the mandate of PTO Action paragraph 11. Thus, clean copies of amended Specification pages 6, 9, 10, and 15 are included in the clean copy Specification enclosed.

### **III. IN THE CLAIMS**

Original independent Claim 1 has been further amended to recite in paragraph ( ), the features of allowable original dependent Claim 5, and thus that dependent claim is canceled as being redundant. The intervening dependent Claims 3 and 4 are now, likewise, limited, by the amendment.

The amendment to Claim 5 substituting adjacent for abutting is also included in its inclusion in Claim 1.

Dependent Claim 2, directed to a pair of lip oil seals was rejected in the PTO Action, paragraph 15 as perhaps being “new matter”. It has been amended, and support for its allowance is set out under Remarks.

Dependent Claim 3 directed to certain plural polymers has materials of fabrication for seal components is an original claim. Per the rules of practice in amending either the original claim or the original specification to correspond to one another, unless such a sentence has been added to specification page 11 conforming the two. This is not the proscribed “new matter” insertion of Code Section 132.

Cancel dependent claim 5 to the pneumatic housing feature as redundant.  
Dependent Claims 6, and 7, as earlier amended, remain in the case.

Independent Claim 8 is canceled herewith as being directed to a non-elected species of Fig. 4.

In sum, amended Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, and 7 remain in the case, all now modified